

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/806,657

Applicant(s)

MILLER ET AL.

Examiner

James M. Hewitt

Art Unit

3679

All Participants:(1) James M. Hewitt.(2) Philip Dalton.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

*Converse (US 283,975) and Rinninger (US 3,520,561)***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and the examiner agreed to amending the specification and claims as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance. The examiner informed Applicant's representative of the objections to the drawings as noted in the Examiner's Amendment.